

Dynamics and time series: theory and applications

Stefano Marmi

Scuola Normale Superiore

Lecture 2, Nov 9 , 2011

Dynamical systems

- A dynamical system is a couple (X phase space, time evolution law: either a map $T:X\rightarrow X$ or a flow $g_t :X\rightarrow X$, here t is time)
- The phase space X is the set of all possible states (i.e. initial conditions) of our system
- Each initial condition uniquely determines the time evolution (determinism)
- The system evolves in time according to a fixed law (iteration of a map T , flow g_t for example arising from solving a differential equation, etc.)
- Often (but not necessarily) the evolution law is not linear
- Observables are simply scalar functions $\phi:X\rightarrow\mathbf{R}$
- Time series naturally arise from the time evolution of the observables: $\phi(x), \phi(T(x)), \phi(T\circ T(x)), \phi(T^3(x)), \dots$. Here $T^{n+1}(x)=T\circ T^n(x)$

Measure-preserving transformations

X phase space, μ probability measure

$\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ **observable** (a measurable function, say L^2).

Let A be subset of X (**event**).

$\mu(\Phi) = \int_X \Phi \, d\mu$ is the **expectation of Φ**

$T: X \rightarrow X$ induces a **time evolution**

on observables: $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi \circ T$

on events: $A \rightarrow T^{-1}(A)$

T is **measure preserving** if $\mu(\Phi) = \mu(\Phi \circ T)$ i.e.

$\mu(A) = \mu(T^{-1}(A))$

Law of large numbers

$\{X_i\}$ independent identically distributed random variables

$$E(X_i) = \mu < +\infty$$

Then
$$\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow \mu$$

Weak form:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) = 1$$

Strong form:

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{almost surely}$$

Birkhoff theorem and ergodicity

Birkhoff theorem: if T preserves the measure μ then with probability one the **time averages of the observables exist** (statistical expectations). The system is **ergodic** if these time averages do not depend on the orbit (statistics and a-priori probability agree)

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} \varphi \circ T^i(x) := \frac{1}{N} S_N \varphi(x) \longrightarrow \int_X \varphi(t) d\mu(t)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \# \{i \in [0, N), T^i(x) \in A\} \longrightarrow \mu(A)$$

Law of large numbers:
Statistics of orbits = a-priori probability

Law of large numbers vs Birkhoff theorem

Random setting

$\{X_i\}$ i.i.d. random variables

$$E(X_i) = \mu < +\infty$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow \mu$$

almost surely

Deterministic setting

$$T: X \rightarrow X$$

$f \in L^1(X, d\mu)$ observable

$$X_i := \{f \circ T^i\}$$

are not necessarily independent

If T ergodic

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^i \rightarrow \int f d\mu$$

almost surely

“Historia magistra vitae” or the mathematical foundation of backtesting

- Without assuming ergodicity, Birkhoff theorem shows that:
- Time averages exist and they give rise to an experimental statistics to compare with theory
- Past and future time averages agree almost everywhere

Recurrence times

- A point is **recurrent** when it is a point of accumulation of its future (and past) orbit
- **Poincarè recurrence**: given a dynamical system T which preserves a probability measure μ and a set of positive measure E a point x of E is almost surely recurrent
- **First return time** of x in E :
$$R(x, E) = \min\{n > 0, T^n x \in E\}$$
- E could be an element of a partition of the phase space (symbolic dynamics): this point of view is very important in applications (e.g. the proof of optimality of the Lempel-Ziv data compression algorithm)

Kac's Lemma

- If T is ergodic and E has positive measure then

$$\int_E R(x,E)d\mu(x)=1 ,$$

i.e. $R(x,E)$ is of the order of $1/\mu(E)$: the average length of time that you need to wait to see a particular symbol is the reciprocal of the probability of a symbol. Thus, we are likely to see the high-probability strings within the window and encode these strings efficiently.

The ubiquity of “cycles” (as long as they last...)

Furstenberg’s recurrence: If E is a set of positive measure in a measure-preserving system, and k is a positive integer, then there are infinitely many integers n for which

$$\mu(E \cap T^{-n}(E) \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)n}(E)) > 0$$

Strong vs. weak mixing: on events

- Strongly mixing systems are such that for every E, F we have

$$\mu(T^n(E) \cap F) \rightarrow \mu(E) \mu(F)$$

as n tends to infinity; the Bernoulli shift is a good example. Informally, this is saying that shifted sets become asymptotically independent of unshifted sets.

- Weakly mixing systems are such that for every E, F we have

$$\mu(T^n(E) \cap F) \rightarrow \mu(E) \mu(F)$$

as n tends to infinity *after excluding a set of exceptional values of n of asymptotic density zero*.

- Ergodicity does not imply $\mu(T^n(E) \cap F) \rightarrow \mu(E) \mu(F)$ but says that this is true for Cesaro averages:

$$1/n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^j(E) \cap F) \rightarrow \mu(E) \mu(F)$$

Mixing: on observables

Order n correlation coefficient:

$$c_n(\varphi, \psi) := \int \varphi \cdot \psi \circ T^n d\mu - \int \varphi d\mu \int \psi d\mu$$

Ergodicity implies

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} c_n(\varphi, \psi) \longrightarrow 0$$

Mixing requires that

$$c_N(\varphi, \psi) \longrightarrow 0$$

namely φ and $\varphi \circ T^n$ become **independent** of each other as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Mixing of hyperbolic automorphisms of the 2-torus (Arnold's cat)

